arXiv:math/0502035v2 [math.RT] 28 Jul 2005

REFLECTION FUNCTORS AND SYMPLECTIC REFLECTION ALGEBRAS FOR WREATH PRODUCTS

WEE LIANG GAN

ABSTRACT. We construct reflection functors on categories of modules over deformed wreath products of the preprojective algebra of a quiver. These functors give equivalences of categories associated to generic parameters which are in the same orbit under the Weyl group action. We give applications to the representation theory of symplectic reflection algebras of wreath product groups.

1. Introduction

Deformed preprojective algebras Π_λ associated to a quiver were introduced by Crawley-Boevey and Holland in [CBH]. A useful tool in their work is the reflection functors, which gives an equivalence from the category of modules over Π_λ to the category of modules over Π_μ, when the parameters λ and μ are in the same orbit under an action of the Weyl group of the quiver on the space of parameters. Recently, in [GG], a one-parameter deformation $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$ of the wreath product of Π_λ with S_n was constructed. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the construction of the reflection functors to the algebras $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$.

Actually, we construct reflection functors F_i for the simple reflections s_i at vertices i without edge-loop. The author does not know if compositions of the functors satisfy the Weyl group relations. However, we prove in Theorem 5.1 that if λ, ν are generic, then $F_i^2 \cong 1$.

It is interesting that to each $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module V, there is a natural complex $\mathscr{C}^\bullet(V)$, depending on i, with the property that $F_i(V) = H^0(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(V))$. Assuming that λ is generic and $\nu = 0$, we prove $H^r(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(V)) = 0$ for all $r > 0$, and hence obtain a 'dimension vector' formula for $F_i(V)$.

When the quiver is affine Dynkin of type ADE, there is a finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ associated to it by the McKay correspondence. Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}_n$ be the wreath product group $S_n \ltimes \Gamma^n$. In [EG], Etingof and Ginzburg introduced the symplectic reflection algebras $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$ attached to $\mathbf{\Gamma}_n$. A Morita equivalence between the algebras $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$ and $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$ was constructed in [GG]; in the case $n = 1$, this was done in [CBH]. As a consequence, we obtain reflection functors for the algebras $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$.

This paper is a step towards the classification of the symplectic reflection algebras of wreath product groups up to Morita equivalence. The reflection functors are defined when $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$. Let us mention that when $\Gamma = \{1\}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the algebras $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$ are the rational Cherednik algebras of type A or B. In these cases, Morita equivalences for the algebras were constructed in [BEG1] using shift functors, and a complete classification in type A (which corresponds to the affine Dynkin quiver of type A_0) was proved in [BEG2] (for generic parameter).

We are interested in the representation theory of $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$ when the parameter t is nonzero. When $n = 1$, there is no parameter k, and the finite dimensional simple modules of $\mathsf{H}_{t,c}(\Gamma)$ were classified in [CBH]. When $n > 1$, we have $\mathsf{H}_{t,0,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n) = \mathsf{H}_{t,c}(\Gamma)^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$. Thus, there is also a classification of finite dimensional simple modules of $\mathsf{H}_{t,0,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$.

In [M2] (which generalizes [EM]), Montarani found sufficient conditions for the existence of a deformation of a finite dimensional simple $\mathsf{H}_{1,0,c_0}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$-module to a $\mathsf{H}_{1,k,c_0+c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$-module for formal parameters k, c. The proofs in [EM] and [M2] are based on homological arguments. We shall give a new proof by constructing the deformation using reflection functors. Moreover, using the reflection functors, we will show that if a finite dimensional simple $\mathsf{H}_{1,0,c_0}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$-module can be formally deformed to a $\mathsf{H}_{1,k,c_0+c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$-module, then the conditions in [M2] must necessarily hold. We shall also use the reflection functors to prove the existence of certain flat families of finite dimensional simple $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$-modules (for complex parameters).

We expect that there will be other applications of the reflection functors.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will recall the definition of the algebras $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$, and construct the reflection functors. In Section 3 and Section 4, we give the proofs of several identities required in the construction of the reflection functors. In Section 5, we prove that the reflection functor is an equivalence of categories for generic parameters. We also construct the complex $\mathscr{C}^\bullet(V)$, and prove some other properties of the reflection functors. In Section 6, we give the applications to the symplectic reflection algebras for wreath products.

2. **Construction of the reflection functors**

2.1. We first recall some standard notions. Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring with 1. We shall work over $\Bbbk$.

Let Q be a quiver, and denote by I the set of vertices of Q. The double $\overline{Q}$ of Q is the quiver obtained from Q by adding a reverse edge $j \overset{a^*}{\to} i$ for each edge $i \overset{a}{\to} j$ in Q. We let $(a^*)^* := a$ for any edge $a \in Q$. If $i \overset{a}{\to} j$ is an edge in $\overline{Q}$, we call $t(a) := i$ its tail, and $h(a) := j$ its head. When $t(a) = h(a)$, we say that a is an edge-loop.

The Ringel form of Q is the bilinear form on $\mathbb{Z}^I$ defined by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i - \sum_{a \in Q} \alpha_{t(a)} \beta_{h(a)}, \qquad \text{where } \alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in I},\ \beta = (\beta_i)_{i \in I}.$$

Let $(\alpha, \beta) := \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ be its symmetrization. We write $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}^I$ for the coordinate vector corresponding to the vertex $i \in I$. If there is no edge-loop at the vertex i, then there is a reflection $s_i : \mathbb{Z}^I \to \mathbb{Z}^I$ defined by $s_i(\alpha) := \alpha - (\alpha, \epsilon_i)\epsilon_i$. We call s_i a simple reflection. The Weyl group W is the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}^I$ generated by all the simple reflections.

Let $B := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Bbbk$, and E the free $\Bbbk$-module with basis formed by the set of edges $\{a \in \overline{Q}\}$. Thus, E is naturally a B-bimodule and $E = \bigoplus_{i,j \in I} E_{i,j}$, where $E_{i,j}$ is spanned by the edges $a \in \overline{Q}$ with $h(a) = i$ and $t(a) = j$. The path algebra of $\overline{Q}$ is $\Bbbk\overline{Q} := T_B E = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} T_B^n E$, where $T_B^n E = E \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B E$ is the n-fold tensor product. The trivial path for the vertex i is denoted by e_i, an idempotent in B. For any element $\lambda \in B$, we will write $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i e_i$ where $\lambda_i \in \Bbbk$. If $w \in W$, $\lambda \in B$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, then $(w\lambda) \cdot \alpha := \lambda \cdot (w^{-1}\alpha)$. The reflection $r_i : B \to B$ dual to s_i is defined by $(r_i\lambda)_j := \lambda_j - (\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j)\lambda_i$.

2.2. In this subsection, we recall the definition of the algebra $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$ from [GG, Definition 1.2.3].

From now on, we fix a positive integer n. Denote by S_n the permutation group of $[1,n] := \{1,\dots,n\}$, and write $s_{ij} \in S_n$ for the transposition $i \leftrightarrow j$. Let $\mathscr{B} := B^{\otimes n}$. For any $\ell \in [1,n]$, define the $\mathscr{B}$-bimodules

$$\mathscr{E}_\ell := B^{\otimes(\ell-1)} \otimes E \otimes B^{\otimes(n-\ell)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathscr{E} := \bigoplus_{1\le\ell\le n} \mathscr{E}_\ell\,.$$

Given $\ell \in [1,n]$, $a \in \Bbbk\overline{Q}$, and $\underline{i} = (i_1,\dots,i_n) \in I^n$, we write

$$a_\ell\big|_{\underline{i}} \quad \text{for the element} \quad e_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes a e_{i_\ell}\otimes\cdots\otimes e_{i_n} \in T_{\mathscr{B}}\mathscr{E}_\ell.$$

We shall simply write $\big|_{\underline{i}}$ for the element $e_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes e_{i_n}$. If $a \in \overline{Q}$ and $i_\ell = t(a)$, then let

$$a_\ell(\underline{i}) := (i'_1,\dots,i'_n) \in I^n, \qquad \text{where} \quad i'_m = \begin{cases} i_m & \text{if } m \neq \ell, \\ h(a) & \text{if } m = \ell. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.1. *For any $\lambda \in B$ and $\nu \in \Bbbk$, define the $\mathscr{B}$-algebra $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$ to be the quotient of $T_{\mathscr{B}}\mathscr{E} \rtimes \Bbbk[S_n]$ by the following relations.*

(i) *For any $\ell \in [1,n]$ and $\underline{i} = (i_1,\dots,i_n) \in I^n$:*

$$\Big(\sum_{a\in Q}[a,a^*] - \lambda\Big)_\ell\Big|_{\underline{i}} = \nu \sum_{\{m\neq\ell \mid i_m = i_\ell\}} s_{\ell m}\Big|_{\underline{i}}.$$

(ii) *For any $\ell, m \in [1,n]$ $(\ell \neq m)$, $a, b \in \overline{Q}$, and $\underline{i} = (i_1,\dots,i_n) \in I^n$ with $i_\ell = t(a)$, $i_m = t(b)$:*

$$a_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{i})} b_m\big|_{\underline{i}} - b_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{i})} a_\ell\big|_{\underline{i}} = \begin{cases} \nu s_{\ell m}\big|_{\underline{i}} & \text{if } b \in Q \text{ and } a = b^*, \\ -\nu s_{\ell m}\big|_{\underline{i}} & \text{if } a \in Q \text{ and } b = a^*, \\ 0 & \text{else}. \end{cases}$$

If $n = 1$, there is no parameter ν, and $\mathscr{A}_{1,\lambda}$ is the deformed preprojective algebra Π_λ. Observe that $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,0} = \Pi_\lambda^{\otimes n} \rtimes \Bbbk[S_n]$.

We shall denote by $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$−mod the category of left $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-modules.

2.3. Let i be a vertex of Q such that there is no edge-loop at i. We shall define the reflection functor

$$F_i : \mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}\text{−mod} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{n,r_i\lambda,\nu}\text{−mod}.$$

In the case $n = 1$, the reflection functors were constructed by Crawley-Boevey and Holland in [CBH, Theorem 5.1]. They were also constructed by Nakajima in the context of quiver varieties, see [Na, Remark 3.20]. They are similar to (but not the same as) the reflection functors of Bernstein, Gelfand and Ponomarev in [BGP].

Let V be a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module. We will first define $F_i(V)$ as a $\mathscr{B} \rtimes \Bbbk[S_n]$-module.

Up to isomorphism, the algebra $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$ does not depend on the orientation of Q, so we may assume that i is a sink in Q; let

$$R := \{a \in Q \mid h(a) = i\}.$$

For any $\underline{j} = (j_1,\dots,j_n) \in I^n$, let

$$V_{\underline{j}} := \big|_{\underline{j}} V, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta(\underline{j}) := \{m \in [1,n] \mid j_m = i\}.$$

For any $D \subseteq \Delta(\underline{j})$, define

$$\mathcal{X}(D) := \text{ the set of all maps } \xi : D \to R : m \mapsto \xi(m).$$

Given $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(D)$, let

$$t(\underline{j}, \xi) := (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in I^n, \qquad \text{where} \qquad t_m = \begin{cases} j_m & \text{if } m \notin D, \\ t(\xi(m)) & \text{if } m \in D. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$V(\underline{j}, D) := \bigoplus_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}(D)} V_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}.$$

In particular, $V(\underline{j}, \emptyset) = V_{\underline{j}}$. Write

$$\pi_{\underline{j},\xi} : V(\underline{j}, D) \longrightarrow V_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}, \qquad \mu_{\underline{j},\xi} : V_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \longrightarrow V(\underline{j}, D)$$

for the projection map and inclusion map, respectively.

If $\sigma \in S_n$, then let $\sigma(\underline{j}) := (j_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, j_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$. We have $\Delta(\sigma(\underline{j})) = \sigma(\Delta(\underline{j}))$. If $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(D)$, then let $\sigma(\xi) \in \mathcal{X}(\sigma(D))$ be the map $m \mapsto \xi(\sigma^{-1}m)$. Let

$$\sigma\big|_{\underline{j}} : V(\underline{j}, D) \longrightarrow V(\sigma(\underline{j}), \sigma(D)), \qquad \sigma\big|_{\underline{j}} := \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\sigma(\underline{j}),\sigma(\xi)} \sigma \pi_{\underline{j},\xi}.$$

Suppose $p \in D$. We have a restriction map $\rho_p : \mathcal{X}(D) \to \mathcal{X}(D \backslash \{p\})$. For each $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(D)$, we have a composition of maps

$$\begin{tikzcd} V(\underline{j}, D) \arrow[r, "\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}"] & V_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \arrow[r, "\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}"] & V_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))} \arrow[r, hook, "\mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}"] & V(\underline{j}, D \setminus \{p\}) \end{tikzcd}.$$

We also have a composition of maps

$$\begin{tikzcd} V(\underline{j}, D \setminus \{p\}) \arrow[r, "\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}"] & V_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))} \arrow[r, "\xi(p)_p^*\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))}"] & V_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \arrow[r, hook, "\mu_{\underline{j},\xi}"] & V(\underline{j}, D) \end{tikzcd}.$$

Define

$$\pi_{\underline{j},p} : V(\underline{j}, D) \longrightarrow V(\underline{j}, D \setminus \{p\}), \quad \pi_{\underline{j},p} := \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)} \xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \pi_{\underline{j},\xi},$$

and

$$\mu_{\underline{j},p} : V(\underline{j}, D \setminus \{p\}) \longrightarrow V(\underline{j}, D), \quad \mu_{\underline{j},p} := \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi} \xi(p)_p^*\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))} \pi_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}.$$

The maps $\pi_{\underline{j},p}$ and $\mu_{\underline{j},p}$ depend on D, but we suppress it from our notations.

We state here the following lemma which will be used later.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $p, q \in D$ $(p \neq q)$, we have the following.*

(i) $\pi_{\sigma(\underline{j}),\sigma(p)} \sigma\big|_{\underline{j}} = \sigma\big|_{\underline{j}} \pi_{\underline{j},p}$, *and* $\mu_{\sigma(\underline{j}),\sigma(p)} \sigma\big|_{\underline{j}} = \sigma\big|_{\underline{j}} \mu_{\underline{j},p}$.

(ii) $\pi_{\underline{j},p} \mu_{\underline{j},p} = \lambda_i + \nu \sum_{m \in \Delta(\underline{j}) \backslash D} s_{pm}\big|_{\underline{j}}$.

(iii) $\pi_{\underline{j},p} \mu_{\underline{j},q} = \mu_{\underline{j},q} \pi_{\underline{j},p} - \nu s_{pq}\big|_{\underline{j}}$.

(iv) $\pi_{\underline{j},p} \pi_{\underline{j},q} = \pi_{\underline{j},q} \pi_{\underline{j},p}$, *and* $\mu_{\underline{j},p} \mu_{\underline{j},q} = \mu_{\underline{j},q} \mu_{\underline{j},p}$.

The proof of Lemma 2.2 will be given in Section 3. Let

$$V_{\underline{j}}(D) := \begin{cases} \bigcap_{p \in D} \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_{\underline{j},p}) & \text{if } D \neq \emptyset, \\ V_{\underline{j}} & \text{if } D = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Let $V'_{\underline{j}} := V_{\underline{j}}(\Delta(\underline{j}))$.

Definition 2.3. *Let* $F_i(V) := V' = \bigoplus_{\underline{j}\in I^n} V'_{\underline{j}}$ *as a* $\mathscr{B}\rtimes \Bbbk[S_n]$*-module.*

2.4. The proofs of Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 of this subsection will be given in Section 3. The proof of Proposition 2.7 of this subsection will be given in Section 4.

Given any $\ell \in [1,n]$, $a \in \overline{Q}$, and $\underline{j} = (j_1,\ldots,j_n) \in I^n$ with $j_\ell = t(a)$, we shall define a map $a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} : V'_{\underline{j}} \to V'_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}$. There are three cases.

Case (I), $h(a), t(a) \neq i$: Then $\ell \notin \Delta(\underline{j}) = \Delta(a_\ell(\underline{j}))$.

Let $D \subset \Delta(\underline{j})$. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(D)$, we have a composition of maps

$$\begin{tikzcd} V(\underline{j},D) \arrow[r, "\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}"] & V_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \arrow[r, "a_\ell\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}"] & V_{t(a_\ell(\underline{j}),\xi)} \arrow[r, hook, "\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\xi}"] & V\big(a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\big)\,. \end{tikzcd}$$

Define

$$a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D} : V(\underline{j},D) \longrightarrow V\big(a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\big), \qquad a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D} := \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\xi}\, a_\ell\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\, \pi_{\underline{j},\xi}.$$

Let $a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} := a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}$.

Lemma 2.4. (i) *If* $p \in D$, *then* $\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\, a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D} = a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D\setminus\{p\}}\, \pi_{\underline{j},p}$.

(ii) *If* $p \notin D$, *then* $\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\, a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D} = a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D\cup\{p\}}\, \mu_{\underline{j},p}$.

It follows from Lemma 2.4(i) that $a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}$ defined in Case (I) sends $V'_{\underline{j}}$ into $V'_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}$.

Case (II), $t(a) = i$: Then $\ell \in \Delta(\underline{j})$, and $\Delta(a_\ell(\underline{j})) = \Delta(\underline{j}) \setminus \{\ell\}$.

Suppose $\ell \in D \subset \Delta(\underline{j})$. For any $r \in R$, we have an injective map

$$\tau_{r,\ell,D} : \mathcal{X}(D\setminus\{\ell\}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}(D) : \eta \mapsto \tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta),$$

where

$$\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta)(m) := \begin{cases} \eta(m) & \text{if } m \in D\setminus\{\ell\}, \\ r & \text{if } m = \ell. \end{cases}$$

Since $t(\underline{j}, \tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta)) = t(r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),\eta)$, there is a projection map

$$\tau^!_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D} : V(\underline{j},D) \longrightarrow V(r^*_\ell(\underline{j}), D\setminus\{\ell\}), \quad \tau^!_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D} := \sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\setminus\{\ell\})} \mu_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),\eta}\, \pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta)},$$

and an inclusion map

$$\tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D_!} : V(r^*_\ell(\underline{j}), D\setminus\{\ell\}) \longrightarrow V(\underline{j},D), \quad \tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D_!} := \sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\setminus\{\ell\})} \mu_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta)}\, \pi_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),\eta}.$$

Let $a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} := \tau^!_{a^*,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}$.

Lemma 2.5. (i) $\sum_{r\in R} \tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D_!}\, \tau^!_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D} = 1$.

(ii) *If* $p \in D\setminus\{\ell\}$, *then*

$$\pi_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\, \tau^!_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D} = \tau^!_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D\setminus\{p\}}\, \pi_{\underline{j},p} \quad \textit{and} \quad \pi_{\underline{j},p}\, \tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D_!} = \tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D\setminus\{p\}_!}\, \pi_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),p}.$$

(iii) *If* $p \notin D$, *then*

$$\mu_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\, \tau^!_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D} = \tau^!_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D\cup\{p\}}\, \mu_{\underline{j},p} \quad \textit{and} \quad \mu_{\underline{j},p}\, \tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D_!} = \tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D\cup\{p\}_!}\, \mu_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),p}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5(ii) that $a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}$ defined in Case (II) sends $V'_{\underline{j}}$ into $V'_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}$.

Case (III), $h(a) = i$: Then $\ell \notin \Delta(\underline{j})$, and $\Delta(a_\ell(\underline{j})) = \Delta(\underline{j}) \cup \{\ell\}$.

Let $D \subset \Delta(\underline{j})$. We have the inclusion map

$$\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}_!} : V(\underline{j}, D) \longrightarrow V(a_\ell(\underline{j}), D \cup \{\ell\}).$$

Define

$$\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D} : V(\underline{j}, D) \longrightarrow V(a_\ell(\underline{j}), D \cup \{\ell\})$$

by

$$\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D} := \Big(-\lambda_i + \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} + \nu \sum_{m\in D} s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\Big)\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}_!}.$$

Let $a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} := \theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}$.

Lemma 2.6. (i) *If $p \in D$, then $\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D} = \theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D\setminus\{p\}}\pi_{\underline{j},p}$.*

(ii) *We have*

$$\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} = \nu \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})} s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(a_\ell(\underline{j}))\setminus\{m\}_!}\pi_{\underline{j},m}.$$

(iii) *If $p \in D$, then $\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D}\mu_{\underline{j},p} = \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D\setminus\{p\}}$.*

It follows from Lemma 2.6(i)-(ii) that $a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}$ defined in Case (III) maps $V'_{\underline{j}}$ into $V'_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}$. Thus, $F_i(V)$ is a $T_{\mathscr{B}}\mathscr{E} \rtimes \Bbbk[S_n]$-module, where $a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} \in \mathscr{E}$ acts by $a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}$.

Proposition 2.7. *With the above action, $F_i(V)$ is a $\mathscr{A}_{n,r_i\lambda,\nu}$-module.*

It is clear from our construction that the assignment $V \mapsto F_i(V)$ is functorial.

3. Proofs of lemmas

Proof of Lemma 2.2:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\sigma(\underline{j}),\sigma(p)}\sigma\big|_{\underline{j}} &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\sigma(\underline{j}),\rho_{\sigma(p)}(\sigma(\xi))}\sigma(\xi)(\sigma(p))_{\sigma(p)}\big|_{t(\sigma(\underline{j}),\sigma(\xi))}\sigma\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}\\
&= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\sigma(\underline{j}),\rho_{\sigma(p)}(\sigma(\xi))}\sigma\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}\\
&=\sigma\big|_{\underline{j}}\mu_{\underline{j},p}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\sigma(\underline{j}),\sigma(p)}\sigma\big|_{\underline{j}} &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\sigma(\underline{j}),\sigma(\xi)}\sigma(\xi)(\sigma(p))^*_{\sigma(p)}\big|_{t(\sigma(\underline{j}),\rho_{\sigma(p)}(\sigma(\xi)))}\sigma\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}\\
&= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\sigma(\underline{j}),\sigma(\xi)}\sigma\xi(p)^*_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}\\
&=\sigma\big|_{\underline{j}}\mu_{\underline{j},p}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\underline{j},p}\mu_{\underline{j},p} &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\xi(p)^*_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)} \\
&= \sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\setminus\{p\})} \mu_{\underline{j},\eta}\Big(\sum_{a\in R} aa^*\Big)_p\Big|_{t(\underline{j},\eta)}\pi_{\underline{j},\eta} \\
&= \sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\setminus\{p\})} \mu_{\underline{j},\eta}\Big(\lambda_i+\nu\sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus D} s_{pm}\Big)\pi_{\underline{j},\eta} \\
&=\lambda_i+\nu\sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus D} s_{pm}\big|_{\underline{j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\underline{j},p}\mu_{\underline{j},q} &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\xi(q)^*_q\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_q(\xi)}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_q(\xi)} \\
&= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}\xi(q)^*_q\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\rho_q(\xi)))}\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_q(\xi))}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_q(\xi)} \\
&\quad -\nu\sum_{\{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)|\xi(p)=\xi(q)\}} \mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}s_{pq}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_q(\xi)} \\
&=\mu_{\underline{j},q}\pi_{\underline{j},p}-\nu s_{pq}\big|_{\underline{j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\underline{j},p}\pi_{\underline{j},q} &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\rho_q(\xi))}\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_q(\xi))}\xi(q)_q\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\pi_{\underline{j},\xi} \\
&= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\rho_q(\xi))}\xi(q)_q\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))}\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\pi_{\underline{j},\xi} \\
&=\pi_{\underline{j},q}\pi_{\underline{j},p}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\underline{j},p}\mu_{\underline{j},q} &= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi}\xi(p)^*_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))}\xi(q)^*_q\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_q(\rho_p(\xi)))}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_q(\rho_p(\xi))} \\
&= \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi}\xi(q)^*_q\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_q(\xi))}\xi(p)^*_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_q(\rho_p(\xi)))}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_q(\rho_p(\xi))} \\
&=\mu_{\underline{j},q}\mu_{\underline{j},p}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proof of Lemma 2.4:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D} =& \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\xi)}\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(a_\ell(\underline{j}),\xi)}a_\ell\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}\\ =& \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\xi)}a_\ell\big|_{t(a_\ell(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\xi))}\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}\\ =&a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D\setminus\{p\}}\pi_{\underline{j},p}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D} =& \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D\cup\{p\})} \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\xi}\xi(p)^*_p\big|_{t(a_\ell(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\xi))}a_\ell\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}\\ =& \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D\cup\{p\})} \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\xi}a_\ell\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\xi(p)^*_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}\\ =&a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},D\cup\{p\}}\mu_{\underline{j},p}.\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proof of Lemma 2.5:
(i)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r\in R}\tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D}{}_{!}\tau^{!}_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D} =&\sum_{r\in R}\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\setminus\{\ell\})} \mu_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta)}\pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta)}\\ =& \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi}\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}\\ =&1.\end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\tau^{!}_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D} =& \sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\setminus\{\ell\})} \mu_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\eta)}\eta(p)_p\big|_{t(r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),\eta)}\pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta)}\\ =& \sum_{\{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)|\xi(\ell)=r\}} \mu_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\rho_\ell(\xi))}\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}\\ =&\Big(\sum_{\{\varepsilon\in\mathcal{X}(D\setminus\{p\})|\varepsilon(\ell)=r\}} \mu_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),\rho_\ell(\varepsilon)}\pi_{\underline{j},\varepsilon}\Big)\pi_{\underline{j},p}\\ =&\Big(\sum_{\zeta\in\mathcal{X}(D\setminus\{\ell,p\})} \mu_{r^*_\ell(\underline{j}),\zeta}\pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D\setminus\{p\}}(\zeta)}\Big)\pi_{\underline{j},p}\\ =&\tau^{!}_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D\setminus\{p\}}\pi_{\underline{j},p}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{\underline{j},p}{\tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D}}_{!} =&\Big(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D)}\mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi)}\xi(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)}\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}\Big)\Big(\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\backslash\{\ell\})}\mu_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta)}\pi_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\eta}\Big)\\ =&\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\backslash\{\ell\})}\mu_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta))}\eta(p)_p\big|_{t(\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\eta))}\pi_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\eta}\\ =&\Big(\sum_{\zeta\in\mathcal{X}(D\backslash\{\ell,p\})}\mu_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D\backslash\{p\}}(\zeta)}\pi_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\zeta}\Big)\pi_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),p}\\ =&{\tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D\backslash\{p\}}}_{!}\pi_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),p}\end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),p}\tau^{!}_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D} =&\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D\cup\{p\}\backslash\{\ell\})}\mu_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\xi}\xi(p)_p^*\big|_{t(r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\xi))}\pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D}(\rho_p(\xi))}\\ =&\sum_{\{\zeta\in\mathcal{X}(D\cup\{p\})|\zeta(\ell)=r\}}\mu_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\rho_\ell(\zeta)}\zeta(p)_p^*\big|_{t(r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\rho_\ell(\zeta)))}\pi_{\underline{j},\rho_p(\zeta)}\\ =&\Big(\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\cup\{p\}\backslash\{\ell\})}\mu_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\eta}\pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D\cup\{p\}}(\eta)}\Big)\mu_{\underline{j},p}\\ =&\tau^{!}_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D\cup\{p\}}\mu_{\underline{j},p}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\underline{j},p}{\tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D}}_{!} =&\sum_{\{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(D\cup\{p\})|\xi(\ell)=r\}}\mu_{\underline{j},\xi}\xi(p)_p^*\big|_{t(\underline{j},\rho_p(\xi))}\pi_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\rho_\ell(\xi))}\\ =&\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(D\cup\{p\})\backslash\{\ell\}}\mu_{\underline{j},\tau_{r,\ell,D\cup\{p\}}(\eta)}\eta(p)_p^*\big|_{t(r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\eta))}\pi_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),\rho_p(\eta)}\\ =&{\tau_{r,\ell,\underline{j},D\cup\{p\}}}_{!}\mu_{r_\ell^*(\underline{j}),p}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 2.6:
(i) Using Lemma 2.2(iii), Lemma 2.2(iv), and Lemma 2.5(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D} =&\Big(-\lambda_i\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}+\mu_{a_{\ell(\underline{j})},\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}-\nu s_{p\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\\ &+\nu\sum_{m\in D}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\Big){\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}}}_{!}\\ =&-\lambda_i{\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}\backslash\{p\}}}_{!}\pi_{\underline{j},p}+\mu_{a_{\ell(\underline{j})},\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}{\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}\backslash\{p\}}}_{!}\pi_{\underline{j},p}\\ &+\nu\sum_{m\in D\backslash\{p\}}s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}{\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}}}_{!}\\ =&\Big(-\lambda_i+\mu_{a_{\ell(\underline{j})},\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}+\nu\sum_{m\in D\backslash\{p\}}s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\Big){\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}\backslash\{p\}}}_{!}\pi_{\underline{j},p}\\ =&\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D\backslash\{p\}}\pi_{\underline{j},p}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Using Lemma 2.2(ii) and Lemma 2.5(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} =&\Big(- \lambda_i\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} + \lambda_i\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} + \nu \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})} s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),m}\Big){\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}}_{!}\\ =&\nu \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})} s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}{\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}\setminus\{m\}}}_{!}\pi_{\underline{j},m}.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Using Lemma 2.5(iii) and Lemma 2.2(iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D}\mu_{\underline{j},p} =&\Big(- \lambda_i + \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} + \nu \sum_{m\in D} s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\Big){\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}}}_{!}\mu_{\underline{j},p}\\ =&\Big(- \lambda_i + \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} + \nu \sum_{m\in D} s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\Big)\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}{\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}\setminus\{p\}}}_{!}\\ =&\Big(- \lambda_i\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p} + \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\big(\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} - \nu s_{p\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\big)\\ &+ \nu \sum_{m\in D} s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\Big){\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}\setminus\{p\}}}_{!}\\ =&\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p}\theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D\setminus\{p\}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

4. **Proof of Proposition 2.7**

To prove Proposition 2.7, we have to check that the relations in Definition 2.1 hold for the maps $a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}$.

First, we check the relations of type (i). Suppose we are given $\ell \in [1, n]$ and $\underline{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in I^n$. There are two cases.

Case 1, $j_\ell = i$: Then $\ell \in \Delta(\underline{j})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{a\in R} a'_\ell\big|_{a^*_\ell(\underline{j})}a^{*'}_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} =&\sum_{a\in R}\theta_{a,\ell,a^*_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{\ell\}}\tau^{!}_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}\\ =&\sum_{a\in R}\Big(- \lambda_i + \mu_{\underline{j},\ell}\pi_{\underline{j},\ell} + \nu \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{\ell\}} s_{m\ell}\big|_{\underline{j}}\Big){\tau_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}}_{!}\tau^{!}_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}\\ =& - \lambda_i + \mu_{\underline{j},\ell}\pi_{\underline{j},\ell} + \nu \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{\ell\}} s_{m\ell}\big|_{\underline{j}} \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.5(i))}\\ =&(r_i\lambda)_i + \nu \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{\ell\}} s_{m\ell}\big|_{\underline{j}} \quad \text{(since } \pi_{\underline{j},\ell} \text{ vanishes on } V'_{\underline{j}}) .\end{aligned}$$

Case 2, $j_\ell \neq i$: Then $\ell \notin \Delta(\underline{j})$. If $a \in R$ and $t(a) = j_\ell$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_\ell^{*'}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} =& \tau^!_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} \\ =& \Big(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi} \pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\tau_{a,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}(\xi)} \Big) \\ & \times \Big(-\lambda_i + \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} \pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} + \nu \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})} s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} \Big) \\ & \times \Big(\sum_{\varepsilon\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))} \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\tau_{a,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}(\varepsilon)} \pi_{\underline{j},\varepsilon} \Big) \\ =& -\lambda_i + \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi} (a^*a)_\ell\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \pi_{\underline{j},\xi} \\ & + \nu \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})} \sum_{\{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))|\xi(m)=a\}} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi} s_{m\ell} \pi_{\underline{j},\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\{a\in Q|h(a)=j_\ell\}} a'_\ell\big|_{a^*_\ell(\underline{j})} a_\ell^{*'}\big|_{\underline{j}} - \sum_{\{a\in Q|t(a)=j_\ell\}} a_\ell^{*'}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} \\ =& \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi} \Big(\sum_{\{a\in Q|h(a)=j_\ell\}} aa^* - \sum_{\{a\in Q|t(a)=j_\ell\}} a^*a \Big)_\ell\Big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \pi_{\underline{j},\xi} \\ & + \lambda_i \Big(\sum_{\{a\in R|t(a)=j_\ell\}} 1 \Big) - \nu \sum_{\{a\in R|t(a)=j_\ell\}} \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})} \sum_{\{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))|\xi(m)=a\}} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi} s_{m\ell} \pi_{\underline{j},\xi} \\ =& \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi} \Big(\lambda_{j_\ell} + \nu \sum_{\{m\neq\ell|j_m=j_\ell\}} s_{m\ell}\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} + \nu \sum_{\{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})|t(\xi(m))=j_\ell\}} s_{m\ell}\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \Big) \pi_{\underline{j},\xi} \\ & - (\epsilon_{j_\ell}, \epsilon_i)\lambda_i - \nu \sum_{\{a\in R|t(a)=j_\ell\}} \sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})} \sum_{\{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))|\xi(m)=a\}} \mu_{\underline{j},\xi} s_{m\ell} \pi_{\underline{j},\xi} \\ =& (r_i\lambda)_{j_\ell} + \nu \sum_{\{m\neq\ell|j_m=j_\ell\}} s_{m\ell}\big|_{\underline{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we check the relations of type (ii). Suppose we are given $\ell, m \in [1,n]$ ($\ell \neq m$), $a, b \in \overline{Q}$, and $\underline{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \in I^n$, such that $j_\ell = t(a)$, $j_m = t(b)$. There are six cases.

Case 1, $t(a), h(a), t(b), h(b) \neq i$:

$$\begin{aligned} & a'_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{j})} b'_m\big|_{\underline{j}} - b'_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} \\ =& a_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})} b_m\big|_{\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} - b_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})} a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} \\ =& \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))} \mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\xi} \Big(a_\ell\big|_{t(b_m(\underline{j}),\xi)} b_m\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} - b_m\big|_{t(a_\ell(\underline{j}),\xi)} a_\ell\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \Big) \pi_{\underline{j},\xi} \\ =& \begin{cases} \nu s_{\ell m}\big|_{\underline{j}} & \text{if } b \in Q \text{ and } a = b^*, \\ -\nu s_{\ell m}\big|_{\underline{j}} & \text{if } a \in Q \text{ and } b = a^*, \\ 0 & \text{else}\,. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Case 2, $t(a) = i$ and $t(b), h(b) \neq i$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&a'_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{j})} b'_m\big|_{\underline{j}} - b'_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} \\
=&\tau^!_{a^*,\ell,b_m(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})} b_m\big|_{\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} - b_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{\ell\}} \tau^!_{a^*,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} \\
=&\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{\ell\})} \mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\eta} b_m\big|_{t(\underline{j},\tau_{a^*,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})}(\eta))} \pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{a^*,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})}(\eta)} \\
&- \sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{\ell\})} \mu_{b_m(a_\ell(\underline{j})),\eta} b_m\big|_{t(a_\ell(\underline{j}),\eta)} \pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{a^*,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})}(\eta)} \\
=&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3, $h(a) = i$ and $t(b), h(b) \neq i$: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}_!} b_m\big|_{\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} =& \sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j})} \mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\tau_{a,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}} b_m\big|_{t(\underline{j},\xi)} \pi_{\underline{j},\xi} \\
=&b_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}_!}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell} \pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell} b_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} =&\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell} b_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})} \pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} \\
=&b_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} \pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&a'_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{j})} b'_m\big|_{\underline{j}} - b'_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} \\
=&\theta_{a,\ell,b_m(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})} b_m\big|_{\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} - b_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} \\
=&\Big(- \lambda_i + \mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell} \pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell} + \nu \sum_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})} s_{p\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j}))} \Big) \tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}_!} b_m\big|_{\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} \\
&- b_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \Big(- \lambda_i + \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} \pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} + \nu \sum_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})} s_{p\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} \Big) \tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}_!} \\
=&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 4, $t(a) = t(b) = i$:

$$\begin{aligned}
a'_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{j})} b'_m\big|_{\underline{j}} =&\tau^!_{a^*,\ell,b_m(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{m\}} \tau^!_{b^*,m,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} \\
=&\sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{\ell,m\})} \mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\eta} \pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{b^*,m,\Delta(\underline{j})}(\tau_{a^*,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{m\}}(\eta))} \\
=&\tau^!_{b^*,m,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{\ell\}} \tau^!_{a^*,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} \\
=&b'_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 5, $h(a) = t(b) = i$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b'_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} \\
=& \tau^{!}_{b^*,m,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})} \\
=& \tau^{!}_{b^*,m,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \Big(-\lambda_i + \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} \pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell} + \nu \sum_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})} s_{p\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} \Big) \tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}{}_{!} \\
=& \Big(-\lambda_i + \mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell} \pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell} + \nu \sum_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{m\}} s_{p\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j}))} \Big) \tau^{!}_{b^*,m,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \\
& \times \tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}{}_{!} + \nu s_{m\ell}\big|_{b_\ell(a_\ell(\underline{j}))} \tau^{!}_{b^*,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}{}_{!} \\
& \text{(using Lemma 2.5).}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau^{!}_{b^*,m,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}{}_{!} \\
=& \Big(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}\setminus\{m\})} \mu_{b_m(a_\ell(\underline{j})),\xi} \pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\tau_{b^*,m,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}(\xi)} \Big) \Big(\sum_{\zeta\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))} \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\tau_{a,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}(\zeta)} \pi_{\underline{j},\zeta} \Big) \\
=& \sum_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{m\})} \mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\tau_{a,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}\setminus\{m\}}(\eta)} \pi_{\underline{j},\tau_{b^*,m,\Delta(\underline{j})}(\eta)} \\
=& \tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}\setminus\{m\}}{}_{!} \tau^{!}_{b^*,m,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau^{!}_{b^*,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}} \tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}{}_{!} \\
=& \Big(\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))} \mu_{b_\ell(a_\ell(\underline{j})),\xi} \pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\tau_{b^*,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}(\xi)} \Big) \Big(\sum_{\zeta\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))} \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\tau_{a,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}(\zeta)} \pi_{\underline{j},\zeta} \Big) \\
=& \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \neq b^*, \\ 1 & \text{if } a = b^*. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
b'_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})} a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} = \begin{cases} a'_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{j})} b'_m\big|_{\underline{j}} & \text{if } a \neq b^*, \\ a'_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{j})} b'_m\big|_{\underline{j}} + \nu s_{m\ell}\big|_{\underline{j}} & \text{if } a = b^*. \end{cases}
$$

Case 6, $h(a)=h(b)=i$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&a'_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{j})}b'_m\big|_{\underline{j}}\\
=&\theta_{a,\ell,b_m(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{m\}}\theta_{b,m,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}\\
=&\Big(-\lambda_i+\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}+\nu\sum_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{m\}}s_{p\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j}))}\Big){\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell,m\}}}_{!}\\
&\times\Big(-\lambda_i+\mu_{b_m(\underline{j}),m}\pi_{b_m(\underline{j}),m}+\nu\sum_{q\in\Delta(\underline{j})}s_{qm}\big|_{b_m(\underline{j})}\Big){\tau_{b,m,b_m(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{m\}}}_{!}\\
=&\Big(-\lambda_i+\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}+\nu\sum_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{m\}}s_{p\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j}))}\Big)\\
&\times\Big(-\lambda_i+\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),m}\pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),m}+\nu\sum_{q\in\Delta(\underline{j})}s_{qm}\big|_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j}))}\Big)\\
&\times{\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell,m\}}}_{!}{\tau_{b,m,b_m(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{m\}}}_{!}\qquad\text{(using Lemma 2.5)}\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&{\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell,m\}}}_{!}{\tau_{b,m,b_m(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{m\}}}_{!}\\
=&\sum_{\xi\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j}))}\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\tau_{a,\ell,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell,m\}}(\tau_{b,m,\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{m\}}(\xi))}\pi_{\underline{j},\xi}\\
=&{\tau_{b,m,a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell,m\}}}_{!}{\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}}_{!}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}+\nu s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j}))}\big)\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),m}\pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),m}\\
=&\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),m}\pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),m}\\
=&\big(\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),m}\pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),m}+\nu s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j}))}\big)\mu_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(b_m(\underline{j})),\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{m\}}s_{p\ell}\sum_{q\in\Delta(\underline{j})}s_{qm}&=\sum_{\substack{p,q\in\Delta(\underline{j})\\p\neq q}}s_{p\ell}s_{qm}+\sum_{q\in\Delta(\underline{j})}s_{q\ell}s_{qm}+\sum_{q\in\Delta(\underline{j})}s_{m\ell}s_{qm}\\
&=\sum_{\substack{p,q\in\Delta(\underline{j})\\p\neq q}}s_{qm}s_{p\ell}+\sum_{q\in\Delta(\underline{j})}s_{m\ell}s_{q\ell}+\sum_{q\in\Delta(\underline{j})}s_{qm}s_{q\ell}\\
&=\sum_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}}s_{pm}\sum_{q\in\Delta(\underline{j})}s_{q\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$a'_\ell\big|_{b_m(\underline{j})}b'_m\big|_{\underline{j}}=b'_m\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}a'_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.7.

5. **Properties of the reflection functors**

5.1. Let i be a vertex of Q such that there is no edge-loop at i. Define Λ_i to be the set of all $(\lambda,\nu)\in B\times\Bbbk$ such that $\lambda_i \pm \nu\sum_{m=2}^r s_{1m}$ are invertible in $\Bbbk[S_r]$ for all $r\in[1,n]$.

Theorem 5.1. *If $(\lambda,\nu)\in\Lambda_i$, then the functor*

$$F_i : \mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}\text{–mod} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{n,r_i\lambda,\nu}\text{–mod}$$

is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse functor F_i.

Proof. Let $V\in\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$–mod and $V' = F_i(V)\in\mathscr{A}_{n,r_i\lambda,\nu}$–mod. Let $\underline{j}\in I^n$.

By Lemma 2.2(ii), for any $p\in\Delta(\underline{j})$, the composition

$$V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{p\}) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\underline{j},p}} V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\underline{j},p}} V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{p\})$$

is equal to λ_i. Since λ_i is invertible, we have a direct sum decomposition

$$V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})) = \mathrm{Ker}\pi_{\underline{j},p}\oplus\mathrm{Im}\mu_{\underline{j},p}.$$

Now $V'(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})) = V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j}))$, and

$$V'(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{p\}) = \bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{p\})} V'_{t(\underline{j},\eta)} \subset \bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathcal{X}(\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus\{p\})}\Big(\bigoplus_{r\in R} V_{t(\underline{j},\tau_{r,p,\Delta(\underline{j})}(\eta))}\Big) = V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})).$$

Observe that the kernel of the map

$$-\lambda_i + \mu_{\underline{j},p}\pi_{\underline{j},p} : V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j}))\longrightarrow V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j}))$$

is $\mathrm{Im}\mu_{\underline{j},p}\subset V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j}))$. Hence,

$$F_i(F_i(V))_{\underline{j}} = F_i(V')_{\underline{j}} = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})}\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\underline{j},p}) & \text{if } \Delta(\underline{j})\neq\emptyset,\\ V_{\underline{j}} & \text{if } \Delta(\underline{j})=\emptyset.\end{cases}$$

Suppose $\Delta(\underline{j}) = \{p_1,\dots,p_r\}$. We have a canonical map

$$\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_r} : V_{\underline{j}}\longrightarrow V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})).$$

By Lemma 2.2(iv), this map does not depend on the ordering of $p_1,\dots,p_r$, and its image lies in $\bigcap_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})}\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\underline{j},p})$. We claim that it is an isomorphism from $V_{\underline{j}}$ to $\bigcap_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})}\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\underline{j},p})$. By Lemma 2.2(ii), each $\mu_{\underline{j},p}$ is injective. Hence, we have to show that $\bigcap_{p\in\Delta(\underline{j})}\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\underline{j},p})\subset\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_r})$.

It suffices to prove that, for $h\in[2,r]$, we have

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_{h-1}})\cap\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\underline{j},p_h})\subset\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_h})\subset V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})).$$

Suppose that $\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_{h-1}}(v) = \mu_{\underline{j},p_h}(w)$. Then, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\lambda_i w = \pi_{\underline{j},p_h}\mu_{\underline{j},p_h}(w) = \pi_{\underline{j},p_h}\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_{h-1}}(v)$$

$$= \mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_{h-1}}\pi_{\underline{j},p_h}(v) - \nu\sum_{g=1}^{h-1} s_{p_g p_h}\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_{g-1}}\mu_{\underline{j},p_{g+1}}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_{h-1}}(v).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_i\mu_{\underline{j},p_h}(w) =&\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_h}\pi_{\underline{j},p_h}(v) - \nu\sum_{g=1}^{h-1} s_{p_gp_h}\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_g}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_{h-1}}(v)\\ =&\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_h}\pi_{\underline{j},p_h}(v) - \nu\sum_{g=1}^{h-1} s_{p_gp_h}\mu_{\underline{j},p_h}(w).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mu_{\underline{j},p_h}(w) = (\lambda_i + \nu\sum_{g=1}^{h-1} s_{p_gp_h})^{-1}\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_h}\pi_{\underline{j},p_h}(v) \in \operatorname{Im}(\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_h}).$$

It remains to show that our map $V \to F_i(F_i(V))$ commutes with the actions. Let $a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}} \in \mathscr{E}$, with $t(a) = j_\ell$. Let $\Delta(\underline{j}) = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$.

If $h(a), t(a) \neq i$, then by Lemma 2.4(ii),

$$a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_r} = \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_1}\cdots\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_r}a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}.$$

If $t(a) = i$, let $p_r = \ell$. Then by Lemma 2.5(iii),

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^!_{a^*,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_r} =&\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_1}\cdots\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_{r-1}}\tau^!_{a^*,\ell,\underline{j},\{\ell\}}\mu_{\underline{j},p_r}\\ =&\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_1}\cdots\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_{r-1}}a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}.\end{aligned}$$

If $h(a) = i$, then the map $(F_iF_iV)_{\underline{j}} \to (F_iF_iV)_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\lambda_i + \big(-\lambda_i + \mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\big) + \nu\sum_{m\in\Delta(\underline{j})} s_{m\ell}\big|_{a_\ell(\underline{j})}\Big)\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}_!}\\ &= \lambda_i\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),D\cup\{\ell\}_!} + \theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},D}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5(iii) and Lemma 2.6(iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\lambda_i\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\Delta(\underline{j})\cup\{\ell\}_!} + \theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})}\big)\mu_{\underline{j},p_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},p_r}\\ =&\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_1}\cdots\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_r}\big(\lambda_i\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\{\ell\}_!} + \theta_{a,\ell,\underline{j},\emptyset}\big)\\ =&\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_1}\cdots\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_r}\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\pi_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}\tau_{a,\ell,a_\ell(\underline{j}),\{\ell\}_!}\\ =&\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_1}\cdots\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),p_r}\mu_{a_\ell(\underline{j}),\ell}a_\ell\big|_{\underline{j}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

It is easy to see that the functor $F_i : \mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}-\text{mod} \to \mathscr{A}_{n,r_i\lambda,\nu}-\text{mod}$ is left exact.

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose* $(\lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_i$. *Then the functor* $F_i : \mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}-\text{mod} \to \mathscr{A}_{n,r_i\lambda,\nu}-\text{mod}$ *is exact.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, F_i has a quasi-inverse functor. Since F_i is left exact, it must also be right exact by [We, Theorem 5.8.3]. □

Let $\Bbbk'$ be a commutative $\Bbbk$-algebra. The following corollary will be used later in the proof of Proposition 5.15.

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose* $(\lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_i$. *Let* V *be any* $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$*-module. Then* $F_i(V)\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk' = F_i(V\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk')$.

Proof. There is a natural map $f : F_i(V)\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk' \to F_i(V\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk')$. We have

$$(F_i(F_iV))\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk'\longrightarrow F_i((F_iV)\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk')\longrightarrow F_i(F_i(V\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk')).$$

By Theorem 5.1, the composition of these two maps is the identity map of $V\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk'$. The injectivity of the first map for any V implies that f is injective. The surjectivity of the second map and the exactness of F_i imply that f is surjective. $\square$

Remark 5.4. Let g be an automorphism of the graph Q. Then g acts on B by $(g\lambda)_j = \lambda_{g^{-1}(j)}$ for any j, and $g(r_i\lambda) = r_{g(i)}(g\lambda)$. It was pointed out to the author by Iain Gordon that g induces an isomorphism of algebras $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu} \to \mathscr{A}_{n,g\lambda,\nu}$. Observe that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{A}_{n,g\lambda,\nu} - \mathrm{mod} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F_{g(i)}"'] & \mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu} - \mathrm{mod} \arrow[d, "F_i"] \\
\mathscr{A}_{n,r_{g(i)}(g\lambda),\nu} - \mathrm{mod} \arrow[r] & \mathscr{A}_{n,r_i\lambda,\nu} - \mathrm{mod}
\end{tikzcd}$$

5.2. In this subsection, we recall the definitions of a commutative cube and its associated complex; see [Kh, §3] for a more detailed discussion.

Let Δ be a finite set. For any $J \subset \Delta$, we let $\mathbb{Z}J$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module freely generated by the elements of J, and write $\det(J)$ for $\det(\mathbb{Z}J)$. If $p \in \Delta \setminus J$, then we define an isomorphism

$$\iota : \det(J)\longrightarrow \det(J \cup \{p\}), \quad x \mapsto x \wedge p.$$

Let $\mathscr{X}$ be the category of modules over a ring.

Definition 5.5. *A commutative Δ-cube (Z, ψ) (over $\mathscr{X}$) consists of data:*

- *an object $Z(J) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{X})$ for each $J \subset \Delta$;*
- *a morphism $\psi_{J,p} : Z(J) \to Z(J \cup \{p\})$ for each $J \subset \Delta$ and $p \in \Delta \setminus J$.*

These data are required to satisfy the following conditions: for each $J \subset \Delta$ and $p, q \in \Delta \setminus J$ where $p \neq q$, we have $\psi_{J\cup\{p\},q}\psi_{J,p} = \psi_{J\cup\{q\},p}\psi_{J,q}$.

Let (Z, ψ) be a commutative Δ-cube. We shall construct a complex $\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z)$ in the category $\mathscr{X}$. For each integer r, let

$$\mathscr{C}^r(Z) := \bigoplus_{\substack{J\subset\Delta\\|J|=r}} Z(J)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \det(J).$$

Define the map

$$d : \mathscr{C}^r(Z) \to \mathscr{C}^{r+1}(Z), \quad d := \sum_{\substack{J\subset\Delta\\|J|=r}} \sum_{p\in\Delta\setminus J} \psi_{J,p}\otimes\iota.$$

It is easy to check that $d^2 = 0$, so that $(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z), d)$ is a complex.

Let $q \in \Delta$, and let $\Delta_q := \Delta \setminus \{q\}$. Define

$$Z_0(J) := Z(J), \quad Z_1(J) := Z(J \cup \{q\}), \qquad \text{for all } J \subset \Delta_q.$$

Then both (Z_0, ψ) and (Z_1, ψ) are commutative Δ_q-cubes. Let

$$f : \mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_0)\longrightarrow\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_1), \quad f := \sum_{J\subset\Delta_q} \psi_{J,q}\otimes\mathrm{Id}.$$

The map f is a morphism of complexes. We note that the complex $\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z)$ is the cone of the morphism $f[-1]$, and we have a short exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_1)[-1]\longrightarrow\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z)\longrightarrow\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_0)\longrightarrow 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Example 5.7. Let Z' be an object of $\mathscr{Z}$, and let $\psi_1,\dots,\psi_m$ be a set of commuting endomorphisms of Z'. Let $\Delta := [1,m]$. For each $J\subset\Delta$, define

$$Z(J) := \begin{cases} \mathrm{Im}(\psi_{q_1}\cdots\psi_{q_r}) & \text{if } J=\{q_1,\dots,q_r\},\\ Z' & \text{if } J=\emptyset.\end{cases}$$

If $J\subset\Delta$ and $p\in\Delta\setminus J$, then define $\psi_{J,p}: Z(J)\to Z(J\cup\{p\})$ to be the restriction of the morphism ψ_p to $Z(J)$. It is clear that (Z,ψ) is a commutative Δ-cube.

Claim: If $\psi_1,\dots,\psi_m$ are idempotents, then $H^r(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z))=0$ for all $r>0$.

Proof of Claim: This is clear if $m=1$. We shall prove the claim by induction on m.

Let $q=1$. We have the commutative Δ_q-cubes (Z_0,ψ) and (Z_1,ψ). By (5.6), we have the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}&0\longrightarrow H^0(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z))\longrightarrow H^0(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_0))\xrightarrow{\psi_1} H^0(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_1))\longrightarrow H^1(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z))\longrightarrow\\ &\longrightarrow H^1(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_0))\longrightarrow H^1(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_1))\longrightarrow H^2(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z))\longrightarrow H^2(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_0))\longrightarrow\cdots\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have $H^r(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_0)) = H^r(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_1)) = 0$ for all $r>0$. Hence, $H^r(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z))=0$ for all $r>1$, and $H^1(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z))$ is isomorphic to the cokernel of $\psi_1: H^0(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_0))\to H^0(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_1))$. Suppose $z\in H^0(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_1))$. Then $z\in\mathrm{Im}(\psi_1)$ implies $\psi_1(z)=z$; and $z\in\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_p)$ for all $p>1$ implies $z\in H^0(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_0))$. Therefore, $H^1(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z))=0$. ☐

5.3. Let V be a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module. For each $\underline{j}\in I^n$, define

$$Z_{\underline{j}}(J) := V(\underline{j},\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus J) \quad\text{ and }\quad \psi_{J,p} := \pi_{\underline{j},p} \qquad \text{for } J\subset\Delta(\underline{j}),\ p\in\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus J.$$

By Lemma 2.2(iv), $(Z_{\underline{j}},\psi)$ is a commutative $\Delta(\underline{j})$-cube (over the category of $\mathscr{B}$-modules). Define the complex of $\mathscr{B}\rtimes\Bbbk[S_n]$-modules

$$\mathscr{C}^\bullet(V) := \bigoplus_{\underline{j}\in I^n}\mathscr{C}^\bullet(Z_{\underline{j}}).$$

Thus,

$$\mathscr{C}^r(V) = \bigoplus_{\underline{j}\in I^n}\ \bigoplus_{\substack{D\subset\Delta(\underline{j})\\ |D|=|\Delta(\underline{j})|-r}} V(\underline{j},D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\det(\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus D), \qquad r=0,\dots,n.$$

We remark that S_n acts diagonally. Observe that

$$F_i(V) = H^0(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(V)). \tag{5.8}$$

We have the following results when $\nu=0$.

Proposition 5.9. *Let $\lambda\in B$ and assume λ_i is invertible in $\Bbbk$. Let V be a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,0}$-module. Then $H^r(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(V))=0$ for all $r>0$.*

Proof. Fix $\underline{j} \in I^n$. Let $Z' = V(\underline{j}, \Delta(\underline{j}))$. For each $p \in \Delta(\underline{j})$, define an endomorphism ψ_p of Z' by $\psi_p = \lambda_i^{-1}\mu_{\underline{j},p}\pi_{\underline{j},p}$. Suppose $D = \Delta(\underline{j}) \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_r\}$ and $p \in D$. Then by Lemma 2.2, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V(\underline{j}, D) \arrow[r, "\lambda_i^{-r}\mu_{\underline{j},q_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},q_r}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{\underline{j},p}"'] & \mathrm{Im}(\psi_{q_1}\cdots\psi_{q_r}) \arrow[d, "\psi_p"] \\
V(\underline{j}, D \setminus \{p\}) \arrow[r, "\lambda_i^{-(r+1)}\mu_{\underline{j},p}\mu_{\underline{j},q_1}\cdots\mu_{\underline{j},q_r}"] & \mathrm{Im}(\psi_p\psi_{q_1}\cdots\psi_{q_r})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, the horizontal maps in the above diagram are isomorphisms. Hence, the proposition is immediate from the claim in Example 5.7. ☐

The Grothendieck group of an abelian category $\mathscr{X}$ is an abelian group with generators $[Z]$, for all objects Z of $\mathscr{X}$, and defining relations $[Z] = [Z'] + [Z'']$ for all short exact sequences $0 \to Z' \to Z \to Z'' \to 0$.

Corollary 5.10. *Let $\lambda \in B$ and assume λ_i is invertible in $\Bbbk$. Let V be a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,0}$-module. Then in the Grothendieck group of the category of $\mathscr{B} \rtimes \Bbbk[S_n]$-modules, we have*

$$F_i(V) = \sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^r \Big[\bigoplus_{\underline{j}\in I^n} \bigoplus_{\substack{D\subset\Delta(\underline{j}) \\ |D|=|\Delta(\underline{j})|-r}} V(\underline{j}, D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \det(\Delta(\underline{j}) \setminus D) \Big].$$

Proof. This follows from (5.8) and Proposition 5.9 by the Euler-Poincaré principle. ☐

The author does not know what happens if $\nu \neq 0$; but see Proposition 5.15 below.

We conjecture that in general $H^r(\mathscr{C}^\bullet(V))$ are the right derived functors of F_i. One can also similarly define a complex using the maps $\mu_{\underline{j},p}$ instead of $\pi_{\underline{j},p}$.

5.4. In this subsection, we let $\Bbbk := \mathbb{C}$. We shall determine the set Λ_i.

First, we recall some standard results on the representation theory of symmetric groups; see [EM, §2.2] and [M1, §2.4]. For a Young diagram μ corresponding to a partition of n, we write π_μ for the associated irreducible representation of S_n. For a cell j in μ, we let $\mathbf{c}(j)$ be the signed distance from j to the diagonal. The content $\mathbf{c}(\mu)$ of μ is the sum of $\mathbf{c}(j)$ over all cells j in μ.

Denote by S_{n-1} the subgroup of S_n which fixes 1. It is known that $\pi_\mu\big|_{S_{n-1}} = \bigoplus \pi_{\mu-j}$, where the direct sum is taken over all corners j of μ, and $\mu - j$ is the Young diagram obtained from μ be removing the corner j.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $C = s_{12} + s_{13} + \cdots + s_{1n}$.*

(i) *The element C acts on $\pi_{\mu-j}$ by the scalar $\mathbf{c}(j)$, for each corner j of the Young diagram μ.*

(ii) *The element C acts as a scalar on π_μ if and only if μ is a rectangle. If the rectangle has height a and width b, then the scalar is $b - a$.*

We omit the proof of the lemma, which can be found in [EM, §2.2] and [M1, §2.4].

Proposition 5.12. *We have*

$$\Lambda_i = \{(\lambda, \nu) \in B \times \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda_i \pm p\nu \neq 0 \textit{ for } p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Proof. Let $r \in [1, n]$. The element $\lambda_i + \nu \sum_{m=2}^{r} s_{1m}$ is invertible in $\mathbb{C}[S_r]$ if and only if its eigenvalues on the irreducible representations of S_r are nonzero. By Lemma 5.11(i), the eigenvalues are the numbers $\lambda_i + \nu\mathbf{c}(j)$, where j is a corner in a Young diagram. The numbers $\mathbf{c}(j)$ which occur are $0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm(n-1)$. □

5.5. In this subsection, we let $\mathbb{k} := \mathbb{C}[[U]]$, where U is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the unique maximal ideal of $\mathbb{k}$. If V is a $\mathbb{k}$-module, we write $\overline{V}$ for $V/\mathfrak{m}V$. A $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module V is a flat formal deformation of a $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$-module V_0 if $V \cong V_0[[U]]$ as $\mathbb{k}$-modules, and there is a given isomorphism $\overline{V} \cong V_0$ of $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$-modules.

For any $\mathbb{k}$-module V, its $\mathfrak{m}$-filtration is the decreasing filtration $V \supset \mathfrak{m}V \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 V \supset \ldots$. We define

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} V := \prod_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{m}^h V}{\mathfrak{m}^{h+1} V}.$$

Let us also introduce the following notations. We shall write $\widetilde{\mathbb{k}}$ for $\mathbb{C}[U]$, $\widetilde{B}$ for $\oplus_{i \in I} \widetilde{\mathbb{k}}$, and $\widetilde{E}$ for the free $\widetilde{\mathbb{k}}$-module with basis the set of edges $\{a \in \overline{Q}\}$. Furthermore, let

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{B}} := \widetilde{B}^{\otimes n}, \qquad \widetilde{\mathscr{E}} := \bigoplus_{1 \le \ell \le n} \widetilde{B}^{\otimes(\ell-1)} \otimes \widetilde{E} \otimes \widetilde{B}^{\otimes(n-\ell)}.$$

For any $\lambda \in \widetilde{B}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{C}[U]$, we write $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$ for the $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$-algebra defined as the quotient of $T_{\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}} \widetilde{\mathscr{E}} \rtimes \widetilde{\mathbb{k}}[S_n]$ by the relations (i) and (ii) in Definition 2.1.

Lemma 5.13. *Let Q be a connected quiver without edge-loop, such that Q is not a finite Dynkin quiver. Assume $\lambda \in \widetilde{B}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{C}[U]$. Then $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu} \cong \overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}[[U]]$ as algebras over $\mathbb{k}$.*

Proof. The algebra $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$ has an increasing filtration defined by setting elements of $\mathscr{B} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[S_n]$ to be of degree 0, and elements of $\mathscr{E}$ to be of degree 1. Similarly, $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$ and $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$ have increasing filtrations.

Let S' be a basis for $\overline{\mathscr{E}}$, and let S be a set of words in the elements of S' such that S is a basis for $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,0,0}}$ over $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$. It was proved in [GG, Theorem 2.2.1] (see also [GG, Remark 2.2.6]) that, for any $\lambda_0 \in \overline{B}$ and $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, the natural map $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,0,0}} \to \mathrm{gr}\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0,\nu_0}}$ is an isomorphism of graded algebras. Hence, S is a basis for $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0,\nu_0}}$ over $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$.

We have the natural epimorphism

$$\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,0,0}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[U] = \widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{n,0,0}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}.$$

Thus, S spans $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$ as a module over $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \widetilde{\mathbb{k}}[S_n]$. If there is a linear relation over $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \widetilde{\mathbb{k}}[S_n]$ among elements of S in $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$, then by evaluation at some point of U, we obtain a linear relation over $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$, a contradiction. Hence, S is a basis for $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$ over $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \widetilde{\mathbb{k}}[S_n]$. It follows that S is a basis for $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu} = \widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[U]} \mathbb{k}$ over $\mathscr{B} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[S_n]$. Therefore, $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu} \cong \overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}[[U]]$ as $\mathbb{k}$-modules, and $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu} \cong \overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}[[U]]$ as algebras. □

Proposition 5.14. *Let Q and λ, ν be as in Lemma 5.13. Let $i \in I$ and suppose $(\lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_i$. Let the $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module V be a flat formal deformation of a $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$-module V_0. Then $F_i(V)$ is a flat formal deformation of $F_i(V_0)$.*

Proof. Observe that $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}V = V_0[[U]]$ as $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}[[U]]$-modules. We have

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(F_i(V)) \subset F_i(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}V).$$

By Theorem 5.1,

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}V = \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(F_iF_iV) \subset F_i(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(F_iV)) \subset F_i(F_i(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}V)) = \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}V.$$

Hence, $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(F_i(V)) = F_i(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}V) = (F_iV_0)[[U]]$ as $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,r_i\lambda,\nu}}[[U]]$-modules, which implies $F_i(V) \cong (F_iV_0)[[U]]$ as $\Bbbk$-modules, and $\overline{F_i(V)} = F_i(V_0)$ as $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,r_i\lambda,\nu}}$-modules. $\square$

5.6. In this subsection, we let $\Bbbk := \mathbb{C}[U]$, the ring of regular functions on a connected smooth affine variety U. For any point $u \in U$, we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_u$ the maximal ideal of functions vanishing at u, and if V is a $\Bbbk$-module, then let $V^u := V/\mathfrak{m}_uV$. We shall write $\overline{\mathscr{B}}$ for $\mathscr{B}^u$.

Proposition 5.15. *Assume Q is a connected quiver without edge-loop, such that Q is not a finite Dynkin quiver. Let $i \in I$ and $(\lambda,\nu) \in \Lambda_i$. Let V be a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module, finitely generated over $\Bbbk$. Suppose V is a flat $\Bbbk$-module. Then we have the following.*

(i) *$F_i(V)$ is a flat $\Bbbk$-module.*

(ii) *If ν vanishes at a point $o \in U$, then for any point $u \in U$, we have*

$$F_i(V^u) = \sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^r\Bigg[\bigoplus_{\underline{j}\in I^n}\ \bigoplus_{\substack{D\subset\Delta(\underline{j})\\ |D|=|\Delta(\underline{j})|-r}} V^u(\underline{j},D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\det(\Delta(\underline{j})\setminus D)\Bigg]$$

in the Grothendieck group of the category of $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$-modules.

Proof. (i) By Corollary 5.3 and Proposition 5.14, $F_i(V)$ is locally flat at all the points of U. Hence, it is flat over U.

(ii) Since V and $F_i(V)$ are flat over U, we have isomorphisms of $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$-modules: $V^o \cong V^u$ and $F_i(V)^o \cong F_i(V)^u$. Hence, the required formula follows from Corollary 5.3 and Corollary 5.10. $\square$

6. Symplectic reflection algebras for wreath products

6.1. Let L be a 2-dimensional complex vector space, and ω_L a nondegenerate symplectic form on L. Let Γ be a finite subgroup of $Sp(L)$, and let $\mathbf{\Gamma}_n := S_n \ltimes \Gamma^n$. Let $\mathscr{L} := L^{\oplus n}$. For any $\ell \in [1,n]$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we will write $\gamma_\ell \in \mathbf{\Gamma}_n$ for γ placed in the ℓ-th factor Γ. Similarly, for any $u \in L$, we will write $u_\ell \in \mathscr{L}$ for u placed in the ℓ-th factor L. Fix a symplectic basis $\{x,y\}$ for L.

Let $t,k \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $Z\Gamma$ the center of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$. Let

$$c = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma\smallsetminus\{1\}} c_\gamma\cdot\gamma \in Z\Gamma, \qquad \text{where } c_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The symplectic reflection algebra $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$, introduced in [EG], is the quotient of $T\mathscr{L} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{\Gamma}_n]$ by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}[x_\ell,y_\ell] &= t\cdot 1 + \frac{k}{2}\sum_{m\neq\ell}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} s_{\ell m}\gamma_\ell\gamma_m^{-1} + \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma\smallsetminus\{1\}} c_\gamma\gamma_\ell, \qquad \forall \ell\in[1,n];\\ [u_\ell,v_m] &= -\frac{k}{2}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\omega_L(\gamma u,v)s_{\ell m}\gamma_\ell\gamma_m^{-1}, \qquad \forall u,v\in L,\ \ell,m\in[1,n],\ \ell\neq m.\end{aligned}$$

Let N_i be the irreducible representation of Γ corresponding to the vertex $i \in I$ of Q (where Q is associated to Γ by the McKay correspondence) and let $f_i \in \mathrm{End} N_i$ be a primitive idempotent. We have $\mathbb{C}\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{End} N_i$. Let $f := \sum_{i \in I} f_i \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$. The element $f^{\otimes n}$ is an idempotent in $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma^n] = (\mathbb{C}\Gamma)^{\otimes n}$. It was proved in [GG, Theorem 3.5.2] that the algebra $f^{\otimes n} \mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n) f^{\otimes n}$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$ for the quiver Q. In particular, $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$. The parameter λ_i is the trace of $t \cdot 1 + c$ on N_i, and the parameter ν is $\frac{k|\Gamma|}{2}$. We shall reformulate and prove the main results of [EM], [M1] and [M2] in terms of the algebra $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$ via this Morita equivalence. We believe the results are more transparent in our reformulation.

6.2. In this subsection, we let $\Bbbk := \mathbb{C}[[U]]$, where U is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the unique maximal ideal of $\Bbbk$. Recall that if V is a $\Bbbk$-module, we write $\overline{V}$ for $V/\mathfrak{m}V$.

If $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ is an I-graded complex vector space, then its dimension vector is the element $(\dim V_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$. Let $\mathscr{N}_i$ be the complex vector space with dimension vector ϵ_i.

Let $\vec{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ be a partition of n. Let $X = X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_r$ be a simple module of $S_{\vec{n}} := S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_r} \subset S_n$. Let $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ be a set of r *distinct* vertices of Q, and let $\mathscr{N} = \mathscr{N}_{i_1}^{\otimes n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathscr{N}_{i_r}^{\otimes n_r}$. Then $X \otimes \mathscr{N}$ is a simple module of $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_{\vec{n}}]$. We write $X \otimes \mathscr{N} \uparrow$ for the induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_{\vec{n}}]}^{\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]} (X \otimes \mathscr{N})$ of $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$. It is known that any simple $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$-module is of the form $X \otimes \mathscr{N} \uparrow$ (see [Mac]: paragraph after (A.5)). We have

$$X \otimes \mathscr{N} \uparrow = \bigoplus_{\sigma} \sigma(X \otimes \mathscr{N}),$$

where σ runs over a set of left coset representatives of $S_{\vec{n}}$ in S_n.

The following lemma is equivalent to [M1, Theorem 4.1].

Lemma 6.1. *Assume Q has no edge-loop. Let the $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module V be a flat formal deformation of the $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}}$-module $\overline{V}$. If $\overline{V}$ is simple as a $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$-module, then all elements of $\mathscr{E}$ must act by 0 on V.*

Proof. Since the algebra $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]$ is semisimple, V must be of the form $(X \otimes \mathscr{N} \uparrow)[[U]]$ (as $\mathscr{B} \rtimes \Bbbk[S_n]$-modules). Let $(j_1, \ldots, j_n) \in I^n$ and $\sigma \in S_n$. If $j_m = j_{\sigma(m)}$ for all $m \neq \ell$, then $j_\ell = j_{\sigma(\ell)}$. It follows that since Q has no edge-loop, $\mathscr{E}_\ell$ must act by 0 on $\mathscr{N}$, hence $\mathscr{E}$ acts by 0 on V. ☐

The next result is equivalent to [M1, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 6.2. *Assume Q has no edge-loop and $\nu \neq 0$. The $\mathscr{B} \rtimes \Bbbk[S_n]$-module $(X \otimes \mathscr{N} \uparrow)[[U]]$ extends to a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *For all $\ell \in [1, r]$, the simple module X_ℓ of S_{n_ℓ} has rectangular Young diagram, of size $a_\ell \times b_\ell$.*

(ii) *No two vertices in $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ are joined by an edge in Q.*

(iii) *For all $\ell \in [1, r]$, one has $\lambda_{i_\ell} = (a_\ell - b_\ell)\nu$.*

Proof. Suppose $(X \otimes \mathscr{N} \uparrow)[[U]]$ extends to a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module. By Lemma 6.1, the elements of $\mathscr{E}$ must act by 0. Hence, by Lemma 5.11(ii) and the relations of type (i) in Definition 2.1, the Young diagram of each X_ℓ must be a rectangle, of size $a_\ell \times b_\ell$ say, and $\lambda_{i_\ell} = (a_\ell - b_\ell)\nu$. By the relations of type (ii) in Definition 2.1, no two vertices in $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ can be joined by an edge in Q.

Conversely, suppose the conditions are satisfied. Then it is clear (using Lemma 5.11(ii) again) that if we let the elements of $\mathscr{E}$ act by 0, the relations in Definition 2.1 hold. $\square$

From now on, we assume that Q is an affine Dynkin quiver of type ADE, but not of type A_0. Let $\delta = (\delta_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$ be the minimal positive imaginary root of Q. We have $\delta_i = \dim N_i$.

Let $\lambda_0 \in \overline{B}$, and assume $\lambda_0 \cdot \delta \neq 0$. We shall write Π_{λ_0} for $\overline{\Pi_{\lambda_0}}$, and $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0,0}$ for $\overline{\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0,0}}$.

Let Σ_{λ_0} be the set of dimension vectors of finite dimensional simple Π_{λ_0}-modules. By [CBH, Lemma 7.2] and [CBH, Theorem 7.4], there exists an element $\lambda^+ \in \overline{B}$ and an element $w \in W$ such that:

- w is an element of minimal length with $w(\lambda_0) = \lambda^+$;
- $w\Sigma_{\lambda_0} = \{\epsilon_i \mid \lambda_i^+ = 0\}$.

By the minimality of its length, we can write $w = s_{j_h} \cdots s_{j_1}$ for some $j_1, \ldots, j_h \in I$, such that $\big(r_{j_g} \cdots r_{j_1}(\lambda_0)\big)_{j_g} \neq 0$ for all $g \in [1, n]$. Let F_w be the composition of functors $F_{j_h} \cdots F_{j_1}$, and $F_{w^{-1}}$ be the composition of functors $F_{j_1} \cdots F_{j_h}$.

Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$ be a collection of pairwise non-isomorphic finite dimensional simple modules of Π_{λ_0}, and let $Y = Y_1^{\otimes n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_r^{\otimes n_r}$. Then $X \otimes Y$ is a simple module of $\Pi_{\lambda_0}^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_{\vec{n}}]$. We write $X \otimes Y \uparrow$ for the induced module $\operatorname{Ind}_{\Pi_{\lambda_0}^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_{\vec{n}}]}^{\Pi_{\lambda_0}^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[S_n]}(X \otimes Y)$ of $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0,0}$. By [Mac] (paragraph after (A.5)), it is known that any finite dimensional simple $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0,0}$-module is of the form $X \otimes Y \uparrow$.

The following theorem and its proof was explained to the author by Pavel Etingof.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\lambda \in B$. Assume that $\lambda_i \in U$ for all $i \in I$, and $0 \neq \nu \in U$. The $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0,0}$-module $X \otimes Y \uparrow$ has a flat formal deformation to a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0+\lambda,\nu}$-module if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *For all $\ell \in [1, r]$, the simple module X_ℓ of S_{n_ℓ} has rectangular Young diagram, of size $a_\ell \times b_\ell$.*
(ii) *We have $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\Pi_{\lambda_0}}(Y_\ell, Y_m) = 0$ for any $\ell \neq m$.*
(iii) *For all $\ell \in [1, r]$, one has $\lambda \cdot \alpha_\ell = (a_\ell - b_\ell)\nu$, where α_ℓ is the dimension vector of Y_ℓ.*

The deformation is unique when it exists.

Proof. Let λ^+, w, F_w, and $F_{w^{-1}}$ be as defined above. We claim that $\big(r_{j_g} \cdots r_{j_1}(\lambda_0 + \lambda), \nu\big) \in \Lambda_{j_g}$ for $g = 1, \ldots, h$. To see this, it is enough to show that $\big(r_{j_g} \cdots r_{j_1}(\lambda_0 + \lambda)\big)_{j_g} + \nu C$ has an inverse in $\Bbbk[S_N]$, for any given $C \in \Bbbk[S_N]$. This is equivalent to solving a system of $N!$ linear equations in $N!$ variables, whose associated matrix is of the form $\big(r_{j_g} \cdots r_{j_1}(\lambda_0 + \lambda)\big)_{j_g} Id_{N!} + \nu M$ for some matrix M. Since λ and ν are 0 modulo $\mathfrak{m}$, the determinant of this matrix is nonzero modulo $\mathfrak{m}$, and so it is invertible in $\Bbbk$. Hence, the matrix is invertible. This proved our claim.

Now define $i_\ell \in I$ by $\epsilon_{i_\ell} = w(\alpha_\ell)$. We have $\lambda_0 \cdot \alpha_\ell = \lambda^+ \cdot \epsilon_{i_\ell} = 0$. By [CBH, Theorem 5.1], we have $F_w(X \otimes Y \uparrow) = X \otimes \mathscr{N} \uparrow$.

Suppose the conditions in the theorem are satisfied. Then by Theorem 6.2, the $\mathscr{B} \rtimes \Bbbk[S_n]$-module $M := (X \otimes \mathscr{N} \uparrow)[[U]]$ is a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda^+ + w(\lambda),\nu}$-module (where elements of $\mathscr{E}$ act by 0). Hence, by Proposition 5.14, the $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0+\lambda,\nu}$-module $F_{w^{-1}}(M)$ is a flat formal deformation of $X \otimes Y \uparrow$.

Conversely, suppose a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0+\lambda,\nu}$-module V is a flat formal deformation of $X\otimes Y\uparrow$. Then by Proposition 5.14, the $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda^++w(\lambda),\nu}$-module $F_w(V)$ is a flat formal deformation of $X\otimes\mathscr{N}\uparrow$. We have $F_w(V)=(X\otimes\mathscr{N}\uparrow)[[U]]$ as $\mathscr{B}\rtimes\Bbbk[S_n]$-modules. It follows from Theorem 6.2 that the conditions in the theorem must hold. Moreover, by Lemma 6.1, the elements of $\mathscr{E}$ must act by 0 on $F_w(V)$, so $F_w(V)$ is the unique flat formal deformation of $X\otimes\mathscr{N}\uparrow$. This implies that V is the unique flat formal deformation of $X\otimes Y\uparrow$. $\square$

The sufficiency of the conditions in Theorem 6.3 was first proved in [M2, Theorem 1.3(i)]; in the special case where the partition is $\vec{n}=(n)$, it was first proved in [EM, Theorem 3.1(i)].

6.3. Let λ_0, λ^+, w, $F_{w^{-1}}$, $j_1,\ldots,j_h$, and $X\otimes Y\uparrow$ be as defined in the previous subsection. In particular, $\lambda_0\cdot\delta\neq 0$. Assume that conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 6.3 hold.

Let U be a finite dimensional complex vector space. Let λ and ν be regular functions on U such that condition (iii) of Theorem 6.3 hold. Moreover, assume there is a point $o\in U$ such that λ specializes to λ_0 at o, and ν vanishes at o.

Define $i_\ell\in I$ by $\epsilon_{i_\ell}=w(\alpha_\ell)$, and let $X\otimes\mathscr{N}\uparrow$ be as defined in the previous subsection. We have $\lambda^+_{i_\ell}=\lambda_0\cdot\alpha_\ell=0$.

Let U' be the Zariski open subset of U defined by $\big(r_{j_g}\cdots r_{j_1}(\lambda)\big)_{j_g}\pm p\nu\neq 0$ for all $g\in[1,n]$ and $p=0,\ldots,n-1$. Since $o\in U'$, the set U' is nonempty.

Let $\Bbbk:=\mathbb{C}[U']$ be the ring of regular functions on U'. For any point $u\in U'$, let $\mathfrak{m}_u$ denote the maximal ideal of $\Bbbk$ consisting of functions vanishing at u. If V is a $\Bbbk$-module, we write V^u for $V/\mathfrak{m}_uV$. We write $\overline{\mathscr{B}}$ for $\mathscr{B}^u$.

The proof of the following theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.3.

Theorem 6.4. *There exists a $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module V such that:*

- (i) *$V^o=X\otimes Y\uparrow$ as $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda_0,0}$-modules, and V is flat over U'.*
- (ii) *For any point $u\in U'$, V^u is a finite dimension simple $\mathscr{A}^u_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module, isomorphic to $X\otimes Y\uparrow$ as a $\overline{\mathscr{B}}\rtimes\mathbb{C}[S_n]$-module.*

Proof. Let the elements of $\mathscr{E}$ act by 0 on the $\mathscr{B}\rtimes\Bbbk[S_n]$-module $(X\otimes\mathscr{N}\uparrow)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\Bbbk$. It follows from Lemma 5.11(ii) that $(X\otimes\mathscr{N}\uparrow)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\Bbbk$ is a $\mathscr{A}_{n,w(\lambda),\nu}$-module.

By Proposition 5.12, we have $\big(r_{j_g}\cdots r_{j_1}(\lambda),\nu\big)\in\Lambda_{j_g}$ for $g=1,\ldots,h$. Let V be the $\mathscr{A}_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module $F_{w^{-1}}\big((X\otimes\mathscr{N}\uparrow)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\Bbbk\big)$. By Corollary 5.3, we have $V^o=X\otimes Y\uparrow$. Moreover, by Theorem 5.1, V^u is a simple $\mathscr{A}^u_{n,\lambda,\nu}$-module for any $u\in U'$. By Proposition 5.15(i), V is flat over U'. Hence, V^u is isomorphic to V^o as $\overline{\mathscr{B}}\rtimes\mathbb{C}[S_n]$-modules. $\square$

We remark that the set U' was not specified precisely in [EM, Theorem 3.1(iii)] and [M2, Theorem 1.3(iii)].

Let us also mention that there may exists finite dimensional simple modules of $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$ (for complex parameters) which cannot be deformed to a flat family as k varies; see [Ch, §4] where this happens.

The assumption that $\lambda_0\cdot\delta\neq 0$ is equivalent to the condition that $t\neq 0$ for the symplectic reflection algebra $\mathsf{H}_{t,k,c}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n)$. When $t=0$, the representation theory of the symplectic reflection algebra is remarkably different; see [CBH], [EG], and [GS].

Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Pavel Etingof for patiently explaining Theorem 6.3 and its proof to me, and for other useful discussions. I also thank Iain Gordon for his comments. This work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0401509.

REFERENCES

[BEG1] Y. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and differential operators on quasi-invariants*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 2, 279–337, `math.QA/0111005`.

[BEG2] Y. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Morita Equivalence of Cherednik Algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **568** (2004), 81–98, `math.QA/0207295`.

[BGP] I.N. Bernstein, I.M. Gelfand, V.A. Ponomarev, *Coxeter functors, and Gabriel's theorem*, Russian Math. Surveys **28** (1973), no. 2, 17–32.

[CBH] W. Crawley-Boevey, M.P. Holland, *Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities*, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998), no. 3, 605–635.

[Ch] T. Chmutova, *Representations of the rational Cherednik algebras of dihedral type*, preprint, `math.RT/0405383`.

[EG] P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Invent. Math. **147** (2002), 243-348, `math.AG/0011114`.

[EM] P. Etingof, S. Montarani, *Finite dimensional representations of symplectic reflection algebras associated to wreath products*, preprint, `math.RT/0403250`.

[GG] W.L. Gan, V. Ginzburg, *Deformed preprojective algebras and symplectic reflection algebras for wreath products*, J. Algebra **283** (2005), no. 1, 350–363, `math.QA/0401038`.

[GS] I. Gordon, S.P. Smith, *Representations of symplectic reflection algebras and resolutions of deformations of symplectic quotient singularities*, Math. Ann. **330** (2004), no. 1, 185–200, `math.RT/0310187`.

[Kh] M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), no. 3, 359–426, `math.QA/9908171`.

[Mac] I.G. Macdonald, *Polynomial functors and wreath products*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 18 (1980), no. 2, 173–204.

[M1] S. Montarani, *On some finite dimensional representations of symplectic reflection algebras associated to wreath products*, preprint, `math.RT/0411286`.

[M2] S. Montarani, *Finite dimensional representations of symplectic reflection algebras associated to wreath products II*, preprint, `math.RT/0501156`.

[Na] H. Nakajima, *Reflection functors for quiver varieties and Weyl group actions*, Math. Ann. **327** (2003), no. 4, 671–721.

[We] C. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **38**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA

E-mail address: `wlgan@math.mit.edu`